

Solar, Deregulation and Electric Vehicles – A Municipal Perspective

Florida League of Cities Annual Conference

August 2018

34 Municipal Electric Utilities in Florida *Mission: Low-Cost, Clean and Reliable Power*

Alachua **Bartow** Blountstown **Bushnell** Chattahoochee Clewiston Fort Meade Fort Pierce Gainesville **Green Cove Springs** Town of Havana Homestead Jacksonville Beach IFA Key West

Kissimmee Lake Worth Lakeland Leesburg Moore Haven Mount Dora New Smyrna Beach Newberry Ocala Orlando Quincy **Reedy Creek** St. Cloud Starke Tallahassee

Serving 3 million, or 15%, of Floridians Vero Beach Wauchula Williston Winter Park



FMPA Controls 1,670 MW of Generation Nearly 80% of Energy from Natural Gas





People Need Affordable Electricity

Income in 29 of 33 Fla. Cities Lower Than State Average

2017 Real Personal Income Per Capita

Rounded to Nearest Thousand





FMPA's Costs Decreased 28% Since 2009 Nationally, Electricity Prices Increased 7%

All-Requirements Project: Historical and Budgeted Rates

Cost per Megawatt Hour





FMPA Has a Clean Power Generation Fleet

Emissions Well Below National Averages





The Big Picture

If You Remember Nothing Else, Know This

- Estimated 5% of Florida's power generation from solar by 2023*
 - Most will come from low-cost, utility-scale solar, which is 1/3 or less than the cost of customer-owned "rooftop" solar
 - Solar subscription services offer low-cost option for customers
- Electric deregulation does not mean lower costs
 - Not good for Florida: we are a peninsula and rely on 3 natural gas pipelines for 70% of our electricity
 - Similar characteristics to California before their power crisis, led by companies like Enron
 - Could result in much lower tax revenue for cities and counties
- In 10 years, electric vehicles only expected to be 2%-4% of auto stock
 - Cities should be cautious about massive investments in recharging technology is improving mileage per charge
 - Potential loss of gas tax revenue for cities and counties





Community Solar

Florida is a Gas-Dependent State

Solar Generation Continues to Grow





SOURCE: Florida Reliability Coordinating Council 2018 Load and Resource Plan

Utility-Scale Solar Low-Cost Solution

Community Solar Is Much Lower Cost

- Utility-scale solar higher cost than running existing low-cost, natural-gas fueled units
- Utility-scale solar costs 1/3 the cost of rooftop solar
- Utility-scale solar subscription service is of interest to customers
- Customers only pay a small adder to their electric rate
- Ideal for multi-family, low income and homes not suited for rooftop solar





FMPA Provides 12 Cities Low-Cost Solar *Utility-Scale Solar One-Third the Price*

- One of largest municipal-backed solar projects in U.S.
 - 1,200 acres, equal to 900 football fields
 - 900,000 panels; 223.5 MW
 - Equal to 37,250 average-size rooftop systems
- Large-scale solar more cost effective
 - About one-third the cost of a private, rooftop system
- Will increase FMPA's solar generation to 2% in 2021





Customers Support Solar Power

But Most Customers Don't Want to Pay More

- FMPA Survey 72% of customers support solar but,
- Most customers don't want to pay more only 13% very likely to pay more per month
- People have impression solar power lowers bills, but that's not generally the case
- Cities have an opportunity to market low-cost, utility-scale solar while examining rate impacts for all customers from private, rooftop solar





Deregulation

Electric Deregulation History *Started in Mid-1990s in High-Cost States*

- Electric deregulation started in mid-1990s in California
 - Others in Northeast later followed
- California implemented wholesale deregulation in April 1998, but by late-spring of 2000, power prices skyrocketed to nearly 40 cents/kWh*
- Enron event happened "Star Wars", etc. with load shedding occurring on 31 days with 42 hours of outages
- Legislators and regulators forget California historically relied on adjacent states for ~20% of the power being brought in by transmission as demand in Arizona and Nevada grew rapidly*
- California limited new power plants being built and demand overran supply price spikes
- Parties controlling the transmission for critical out-of-state supply won big-time



Most Choice States Higher Cost than FL *Texas Costs Similar to Florida – Both Rely on Gas*



Texas Reliant on Natural Gas, Like Florida *Texas Gets Federal Tax Subsidy for Wind*



- Wind in Texas gets an est. tax credit of \$25/MWh or \$1.8B annually (based on 2017)
- Tax credits equate to ~0.5 cents/kWh reduction in Texas prices paid for by U.S. taxes



Texas Residential Rates Similar to Florida *Prices Generally Follow Natural Gas Prices*

Nominal Residential Average Cost and Gas Cost (cents/kWh) Source: EIA





Texas Results Mixed

Even with Local Resource Advantage

- Electric prices in regulated areas of Texas remain lower than deregulated areas*
- Texas saves 0.5 cents per kWh compared to Florida due to wind tax subsidies
- TX has great access to local energy sources
 - Natural gas
 - Lignite coal
- Low transportation costs for fuel natural gas/coal
- Hub for energy industry and resource extraction
- More resilient network to deliver power and fuel no small set of assets critical to power supply



Deregulation in FL – Unseen Challenges *State Dependent on Three Natural Gas Pipelines*

- Peninsular nature limits access to three natural gas pipelines, all from same spot in Alabama
- One company owns 50% of Florida pipeline capacity and generation
- Deregulated entities charge what "market will bear"
 - They would not be cost regulated by the Florida PSC
- Alternative cost-effective generation not large enough to mitigate potential risks





Electric Service Tax Revenue Significant

Deregulation Puts ~\$1.7B* Revenue for Cities at Risk

- Deregulation means:
 - 30%-50% of bill from electric utility for wires
 - 50%-70% of bill from unregulated supplier
- Gross receipts and public service taxes only on utility service
 - Will legislature expand to cover unregulated cost?
- If deregulation meant to push customer-owned generation:
 - Loss of state and local tax revenue





Electric Vehicles

Electric Vehicles Will Grow

But Will Only Reach ~5% of Cars in U.S. by 2027

- Interest in electric vehicles (EVs) growing, but highly subsidized
- EVs can be as much as 5 times higher cost than standard cars*
 - Cost varies greatly based on battery and features
 - Fair number of EVs have no "apples to apples" gas counterpart
- EVs market challenge is to move beyond the high-income crowd
- EVs range (on battery alone) can vary greatly but generally ranges from ~50 miles per charge to as much as ~300 miles per charge, and looking to get more products to 350-400 miles by mid 2020s
 - Gas/electric hybrids with range-extending gas engines go farther
- Replacing existing car feedstock takes more than a decade
- Impact on overall electric demand up to 2% increase by 2027



EIA Projects EV/Hybrid Stock at 4% by 2027 *Pure EVs Expected to Have Larger Share*

Share of Auto Stock for Pure EVs and Plug-in Hybrids

Source: EIA 2017 Annual Energy Outlook

| 4.0% | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------------|------|---------------|
| 3.5% | Federal tax cred \$7,500 for pure | it of up to electric | | |
| 3.0% | vehicles introdu (first 200k of uni | ced. its sold) | | 2.2% |
| 2.0% | | 0 20/ | | Pure Electric |
| 1.5% | | 0.2% | | |
| 1.0% | | 0.2% | 0.8% | 1.6% |
| 0.5% | | | 0.7% | Hybrid |
| 0.0% | 2012 | 2017 | 2022 | 2027 |



1% of new US car sales today are electric (SOURCE: Intl' Energy Agency).

Electric Vehicles Support Scattered *Not Every Customer is Compelled to Change*

| Adoption Factor | PHEV/EV | Internet-Enabled (Smart) Phone |
|--|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Low up-front capital cost | - | + |
| No need for government subsidies | ? | + |
| Rapidly expanding/available infrastructure | ? | + |
| Creates demand for something we never knew we needed | - | + |
| Enhances utility relative to the past | - | + |
| Social/environmental value | + | ? |
| Very rapid adoption once introduced | - | + |



There is a Model Boom Coming... *Range Moving to 200 – 300 Miles Per Charge*





...But America Loves Trucks

Trucks and Sport-Utility Vehicles Dominating

2017 TYD (9/30) Top 5 USA Selling Vehicles vs. PHEV/EV

Sources: Inside EVs, goodcarbadcar.com





Public Charging Stations Increasing *China Demand Will Drive Tech Advances*





Policies Could Increase EV Value

Certain States Legislating to Create Markets

- Restrict registrations to only EVs (California bill introduced in January 2018)
- Toll exemptions
- Dedicated parking spaces
- Access to publicly available charging infrastructure
 - May become less important as range increases
- Access bus and high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes
- Public/Fleet procurement



EV Adoption Impacts Local Taxes

State and Local Govt. Gets ~2/3 of Fuel Tax Dollars

- State and local government taxes and allocations make up ~2/3 of fuel tax revenues
- The average driver pays about \$296/year in fuel taxes*
 - EV would reduce revenue by the same amount
- Less money available for acquisition, construction and maintenance of roads, other transportation projects





The Big Picture

If You Remember Nothing Else, Know This

- Estimated 5% of Florida's power generation from solar by 2023*
 - Most will come from low-cost, utility-scale solar, which is 1/3 or less the cost of customer-owned "rooftop" solar
 - Solar subscription services offer low-cost option for customers
- Electric deregulation does not mean lower costs
 - Not good for Florida: we are a peninsula and rely on three natural gas pipelines for 70% of our electricity
 - Could result in much lower tax revenue for cities and counties
- In 10 years, electric vehicles only expected to be 2%-4% of auto stock
 - Cities should be cautious about massive investments in recharging technology is improving mileage per charge
 - Potential loss of gas tax revenue for cities and counties





Water Infrastructure

Drew Bartlett Deputy Secretary, Ecosystem Restoration Florida Department of Environmental Protection



Florida Water Pressures



Statewide Demand & Population Projections





Statewide Projected Demand

by Use





Forecasting Project Implementation to Meet Future Demands







2015-2035 Demands

Demands, Conservation, and AWS Options Identified in RWSPs

| Net Demand Change (mgd) | Estimated Existing Sources Available to Meet Future Demands (mgd) | Net Demand Change of which Additional AWS or Conservation Must Surpass (mgd) | Conservation Projection to Meet Future Demands (mgd) | AWS Options to Meet Future Demands (mgd) |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 1,108.9 | 677.2 | 431.7 | 328 - 353 | 1,604 – 1,642 |



Completed Projects by Type FY 05/06 – FY 17/18

| Project Type-Complete | Number of Projects by Type | Sum of Quantity of Water Made Available upon Completion (MGD) | Sum of Reuse Flow Made Available upon Project Completion (MGD) | Sum of Project Sponsor Match | Sum of Total State Funding | Sum of Total District Funding |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Reclaimed Water | 357 | 1.19 | 364.91 | \$1,468,745,676 | \$74,683,552 | \$226,206,653 |
| Brackish Groundwater | 91 | 255.92 | | \$918,751,553 | \$32,983,566 | \$77,093,149 |
| Surface Water | 42 | 126.87 | | \$283,092,963 | \$89,666,021 | \$358,987,709 |
| Aquifer Storage and Recovery(ASR and Groundwater Recharge | 30 | 27.00 | 2.20 | \$78,312,104 | \$1,549,535 | \$23,426,552 |
| Stormwater | 12 | 23.95 | | \$14,914,793 | \$250,717 | \$4,258,967 |
| Other Project Types | 215 | 38.24 | | \$64,498,347 | \$15,970,000 | \$14,231,253 |
| Grand Total | 747 | 473.16 | 367.11 | \$2,828,315,435 | \$215,103,392 | \$704,204,282 |

Reclaimed Water Use and

Total Reuse Flow (includes supplementation

Opportunities

2016 saw 760 mgd of beneficial reuse (representing 44% of the total domestic wastewater flow in the state)

Reclaimed water was used to irrigate:

- 397,750 residences
- 574 golf courses
- 1,053 parks
- 381 schools
- 12,739 acres of edible crops on 65 farms

Reclaimed Water by Counties © OpenStreetMap contributors Total Flow Available (mgd Category Effluent Disposal from Facilities that Do Not Provide Reuse 0.5 600.0 Effluent Disposal from Facilities that Provide Reuse 800.0









Florida Springs and Aquifer Protection Act (2016)

- Sub-Sections 373.801– 373.813, F.S
- Designates 30 Outstanding Florida Springs (OFS)
- Requires DEP to assess and implement restoration plans (BMAPs) for OFS that have water quality impairments, most by June 30, 2018

New septic systems within the PFA on lots less than 1 acre will need to include enhanced nitrogen treatment

Some existing septic systems requirements will begin after completion of:

- DOH rulemaking
- Homeowner assistance
- Local master wastewater plans
- No later than 5 years





City of Crystal River to Duke Energy Reclaimed Water Project 28% Reduction in Nitrogen Load to Groundwater 0.75 MGD in Water Saved









Investment in Coastal

Resiliency

Stormwater management

Florida Coastal Office FY 18/19 Budget Request: Resiliency Planning: \$600,000 Healthy Beaches: \$50,000,000 Storm Preparedness (Beaches): \$2,000,000 Coral Health: \$1,000,000





Drew Bartlett Deputy Secretary, Ecosystem Restoration Florida Department of **Environmental Protection** Drew.Bartlett@Floridadep.gov